

Facilitator(s): Cam Gale; Tom Folks

Initial Evaluation Date: 4/8/2019

Evaluators: Bill Stevens, ORP - Wilderness/WSA/LWC

Todd Murdock, Outdoor Recreation Planner
(Permits)

David Williams, Range Conservationist

Pam Riddle, Wildlife Biologist

Gabe Bissonette, Aquatic Ecologist

Ashley Losey, Archaeologist

David Pals, Geologist

Katie Stevens, Outdoor Recreation Planner

Greg Halliday, County Council

Liz Cresto, Realty Specialist

Jonathan Jew, Land law examiner

Bryan Torgerson, Representative

Bill Jackson, County Roads

Mark Boshell, Policy Analyst

TMA: Labyrinth Canyon/Gemini
Bridges

Length: 0.54 mi. Width: Dual Track

Class: Primitive Roads

Use Level: Low

Route Type(s): Connector

Surface: None identified by IDT

Maintained: None identified by IDT

Origin: None identified by IDT

Constructed: None identified by IDT

Jurisdictions: BLM

Additional Information: None.

General Evaluation Questions

| | |
|--|------------|
| Does this route: | |
| • either wholly or in part, have a right-of-way grant or is it simply an officially-recognized route maintained by a county or another government agency? | NO |
| • provide commercial, private property, or administrative access, e.g., via permit, ingress/egress rights or other jurisdictional responsibility? | YES |
| • provide a principal means of connectivity within a Travel Management Area or Management Zone? | NO |
| • exist as a result of a previous agency land use or implementation-level planning document decision and is managed as a transportation facility asset? | NO |
| • provide an important linkage between Travel Management Areas or Management Zones? | NO |
| Does this route provide network connectivity that contributes to recreational opportunities, access to specific recreation sites, public safety, or other public multi-use access opportunities enumerated in agency Organic laws? | YES |
| Might the continued use of this route potentially impact: | |
| • State or Federal special status species or their habitat? | YES |
| • cultural or any other specially-protected resources or objects identified in Agency planning documents? | YES |
| • any special area designations, e.g., National Monuments? | YES |
| • any other resources of concern? | YES |
| Can the anticipated potential impacts to the identified resources be avoided, minimized, i.e., reduced to acceptable levels, or be mitigated? | YES |
| Can the commercial, private property, recreation or public uses of this route be adequately met by another route or routes that may minimize impacts to the resources identified as part of this evaluation or that may minimize cumulative effects on various other resources? | YES |
| Route D1455 may meet the various needs of this route while minimizing impacts or cumulative effects. | |

Evaluation Information

Commercial, Administrative, Property and Economics

The following items help to identify the purpose and need of this route. This route provides access to the following facilities and/or jurisdictions for the purpose of carrying out administrative and/or authorized operations or for jurisdictional access.

Primary Access *(leads directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, and IS the main route used for access)*

| Type | Description |
|------------------|------------------|
| Range Facilities | Active Allotment |

Alternate Access *(leads directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, but IS NOT the main route used for access)*

| Type | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT | |

Link Access *(does not lead directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, but is required to access a primary access route)*

| Type | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT | |

Recreational Uses

The following items help to identify the purpose and need of this route. This route:

- provides public travel access to the listed recreation sites using the listed travel modes, and/or
- provides for recreational activity and experience opportunities in the area, and/or
- provides important route network connectivity for recreational access between two or more other routes.

Primary Access/Uses *(main route used to access the destinations or use activities listed)*

| Type | Description |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Activities | Jeeping/4-Wheeling |
| | Scenic Driving |
| Modes of Transportation | Stock 4 Wheel Drive |
| | UTV/ATV |

Alternate Access / Secondary Uses *(used to access the destinations or use activities listed, but not considered the main route)*

| Type | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT | |

Link Access / Infrequent Uses *(rarely used to access the destinations or use activities listed)*

| Type | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT | |

Resource and Use Issues

The following items help to identify potential natural and cultural resource issues associated with the location and use of this route. This route is located in, leads to, crosses, or is within a set distance of the following resources or issues.

| Resource Type | Description |
|--------------------------|--|
| Biomes | In Blackbrush Crosses Pinyon-Juniper |
| Managed Species | In Desert bighorn sheep yearlong habitat |
| Special Status Plants | In Navajo sedge potential geology In Jones cycladenia modeled potential habitat |
| VRM/RSC | In VRM Class III - Partially Retain existing char. |
| Special Management Areas | In SRMA - Special Recreation Management Area |
| Water Resources | Crosses Ephemeral stream |
| Misc. Resources | In Erosive Soil - Moderate Potential Crosses Erosive Soil - High Potential Within of Lands with Wilderness Characteristics |
| Resource Issues | In Route Proliferation |

Note: Specific sensitive resources, such as cultural resources, paleontological resources, or threatened or endangered species are not listed in this report for their protection, but were considered during the evaluation of this route.

Potential Alternative Route Designations

Alternative A (Current Management, No Action Alternative)

Area Designation:

Limited to Designated Routes

Route Designation:

Open

Specific designations by user type:

OHV Public: **Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Open** - The public may use this route by all motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Non-motorized Public: The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Authorized/Permitted Users: Authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.
Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.

Administrative/Official Users: All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.

Alternative B

Comprehensive Designation:

CLOSED

This route will be decommissioned and not managed as a BLM transportation asset. Unless otherwise signed, cross-country foot and animal use is allowed in the area.

OHV Public: Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Closed

Specific Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

How Designation Addresses Criteria Above: Closing this route would contribute to retaining or restoring vegetation and soil cover, minimizing the potential for soil erosion. Closing this route would reduce overall impact of vehicle use and route footprint in the area. By closing the route, the potential for future impacts to documented wilderness character and impairment of wilderness suitability would be minimized while wilderness characteristics of naturalness and opportunities for solitude would be enhanced.

Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:

(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)

Closure Method: Sign Closed; Natural rehabilitation

Alternative C

Comprehensive Designation:

CLOSED

This route will be decommissioned and not managed as a BLM transportation asset. Unless otherwise signed, cross-country foot and animal use is allowed in the area.

OHV Public: Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Closed

Specific Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

How Designation Addresses Criteria Above: Closing this route would contribute to retaining or restoring vegetation and soil cover, minimizing the potential for soil erosion. Closing this route would reduce overall impact of vehicle use and route footprint in the area. By closing the route, the potential for future impacts to documented wilderness character and impairment of wilderness suitability would be minimized while wilderness characteristics of naturalness and opportunities for solitude would be enhanced.

Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:

(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)

Closure Method: Sign Closed; Natural rehabilitation

Alternative D

Comprehensive Designation:

OPEN W/ MANAGEMENT

Specific designations by user type:

OHV Public: Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Open - The public may use this route by all motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Non-motorized Public: The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Authorized/Permitted Users: Authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.
Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.

Administrative/Official Users: All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.

Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

How Designation Addresses Criteria Above: This route is important for network connectivity, serving commercial and recreation users in this area. Allowing continued use of this route would minimize potential impacts to documented resources by providing a common access into or across the area on a pre-existing route, reducing the potential for new disturbances from cross-country use or the need for construction of new routes to provide similar access. Continued use of this route with the added application of specific management prescriptions, would minimize potential impacts to documented resources. Allowing continued use of this route would minimize the potential for impacts to documented resources by providing targeted recreation activity and experience opportunities that reduce or eliminate the inclination for users to travel off-route.

Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:

(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)

Potential Management Actions:

Maintenance: Signing - Directional

Mitigation: Signing - Regulatory

Potential management actions may be incorporated with an overall monitoring strategy that would assess the status and/or integrity of the potentially impacted sensitive resource or resource issues identified as they relate to various external factors, e.g., climate cycles, exotic species introduction, visitor use levels (type, intensity, and season of use), etc. Monitoring data that indicate a decline in resource integrity or reveal methods of mitigation that proved to be unsuccessful would then trigger adaptive and appropriate responses aimed at restoring integrity or successfully mitigating undesirable conditions.